

Coordination compounds formed using three-center hydrogen bridge bonds: An extension of the Lewis donor–acceptor coordinate bond*

R.W. Parry and G. Kodama

Department of Chemistry, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112-1194 (USA)

(Received 30 September 1992)

CONTENTS



Abstract	245
1. The concept of the coordinate covalent bond	246
1.1 Werner's primary and secondary valences and the electronic pictures of G.N. Lewis	246
1.2 A different approach to coordination. The $B-H \rightarrow E$ three-center bridge bond	247
2. A neutral ligand capable of forming three-center coordinate bonds	249
2.1 Synthesis of the ligand	249
2.2 Reactions of $B_2H_4 \cdot 2P(CH_3)_3$ as a ligand with boron hydrides	251
3. Metal complexes containing $B_2H_4 \cdot 2P(CH_3)_3$ as a ligand	252
3.1 Reactions of zinc(II) chloride with $B_2H_4 \cdot 2P(CH_3)_3$	252
3.2 Reactions of $B_2H_4 \cdot P(CH_3)_2$ with copper(I) salts	254
3.3 Reactions of $B_2H_4 \cdot 2P(CH_3)_3$ with nickel carbonyl. The compound $[(OC)_2Ni(B_2H_4 \cdot 2P(CH_3)_2)]$	255
4. Photochemical synthesis of compounds containing the $B-H \rightarrow M$ bond	256
4.1 Photochemical reactions of $[B_2H_4 \cdot 2P(CH_3)_3]$ with metal hexacarbonyls of Cr, Mo, and W	257
4.2 Reaction of non-chelating $H_3BP(CH_3)_3$ and $H_3BN(CH_3)_3$ with metal hexacarbonyls	258
Acknowledgments	259
References	259

ABSTRACT

In 1959 it was suggested by Parry and Edwards that the concept of a coordinate covalent bond could be extended to include three-center bridge bonds. Although the $B-H \rightarrow M$ bond was soon seen in metal borohydrides and salts of metals with other boron hydride anions, questions about ionic contributions to bonding in these structures rendered questionable the concept of valid coordination to a metal through a [boron–hydrogen–metal] three-center bond. Now complexes have been characterized with formulas of $ZnCl_2 \cdot L$, $(CuCl)_2$, $CuP\phi_3LCl$, $Ni(CO)_2L$, $Cr(CO)_4L$, $Mo(CO)_4L$, and $W(CO)_4L$ where L is the *bidentate, neutral, σ -bonding* ligand which can be compared in some sense to ethylenediamine:

* Respectfully dedicated to the memory of John C. Bailar, Jr., one of the great inorganic chemists of the twentieth century, who patiently introduced the senior author to the field of coordination chemistry.

Correspondence to: R.W. Parry, Department of Chemistry, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112-1194, USA.

**(B₂H₄ · 2 Base)****ethylenediamine**

In these compounds, as in some of the boron hydrides such as B₂H₆, the B— $\overset{\text{H}}{\curvearrowright}$ linkage is clearly and unequivocally the basis for compound stability.

Relatively unstable complexes of the formula M(CO)₅[B₂H₄ · 2P(CH₃)₃] have also been characterized where M is Cr and W, and where the [B₂H₄ · 2P(CH₃)₃] is a *monodentate* ligand. Even less stable monodentate complexes of formula Cr(CO)₅(H₃B · Base) and W(CO)₅(H₃B · Base) have been characterized where the bases fastened to BH₃ are P(CH₃)₃, N(CH₃)₃, and P(C₆H₅)₃. These borane ligands are excellent leaving groups.

1. THE CONCEPT OF THE COORDINATE COVALENT BOND

1.1 *Werner's primary and secondary valences and the electronic pictures of G.N. Lewis*

In his classical formulation of the coordination theory, Werner [1(b)] postulated two types of linkage which could join atoms together. He defined a primary valence for an atom which could account for the bond between a metal such as cobalt and a non-metal such as chlorine to give a stable species such as cobalt(II) chloride. This cobalt–chlorine linkage was the classical chemical bond of the day and was tied to the idea of definite bonding capacities (valences) of elements.

The existence of ammonia adducts with formulae such as CoCl₂ · 6NH₃, CoCl₂ · 5NH₃, CoCl₂ · 4NH₃, etc., known since 1798 [2], required a perturbation of this classical valence concept, since varying numbers of NH₃ molecules could bind to a central cobalt atom. Without being specific about the nature of the linkage, Werner suggested that a molecule such as ammonia could bind to a metal such as cobalt through a secondary valence of cobalt; thus the cobalt atom displayed both primary and secondary valences which were defined only in an operational sense.

It remained for Lewis [3] to explain the ideas of primary and secondary valence in terms of the electronic structure of atoms and molecules. His classical and simple picture of the primary valence bond involved an electron pair with *each* bound *atom* providing one of the two electrons of the bonding pair. The secondary valence bond between two atoms was defined as a two-electron bond in which one of the two bound atoms contributed both electrons to form the linkage. The other atom accepted a share of the two electrons. Such a bond was called a coordinate–covalent or donor–acceptor bond. Coordination chemistry became an accepted and definable area of chemistry.

1.2 A different approach to coordination. The $B-H \rightarrow E$ three-center bridge bond

In a paper considering the systematics of the boron hydrides in 1959, Parry and Edwards [4] proposed an operational extension of the coordinate covalent bond. They wrote: "The coordination analogy in boron hydrides may be carried somewhat farther with profit by an operational extension of the definition of a base. It is customary to consider borane fragments such as BH_3 or B_3H_7 as *Lewis acids* since they accept electrons easily from normal electron donors (classic Lewis bases). On the other hand the originally unexpected ability of these fragments to combine with other borane fragments (Lewis acids) suggests that the borane groups (i.e. BH_3) may be considered as operational 'electron deficient' *bases* as well as Lewis acids. In such interactions the formation of bridge bonds replaces the conventional donor–acceptor bond of classic coordination theory. In such a sense the borane groups are amphoteric. For example, diborane can be regarded as a coordination compound resulting from the combination of two BH_3 groups which serve as *both* an acid and a base ...".

"It is then convenient to consider diborane as just one member of a series of base borane coordination compounds*. If the premise is accepted, the BH_3 group itself can be placed in any series of Lewis bases which form coordination compounds with the Lewis acid BH_3 . One could then write a series representing increasing strength of bases coordinating with BH_3 (the acid). Data now available suggest the following arrangement for a few selected bases: CO , PF_3 , BH_3 , H_2O , NH_3 , etc., ...".

While the foregoing discussion was focussed on boron hydrides, compounds such as $Al(BH_4)_3$ and other metal borohydrides suggested that metal ions could serve as acceptor species in the three-center coordinate bond (i.e. $B-H \rightarrow M^{+n}$). A number of metal borohydrides were known, and many more metal borohydride-type coordination compounds such as $(R_3P)_2CuBH_4$ and $(R_3P)_2CuB_3H_8$ were soon characterized, suggesting the reality of the $B-H \rightarrow M$ linkage (Figs. 1 and 2). Still proton NMR data showed that all hydrogens of the borohydride were equivalent; thus the possibility remained that ionic interactions could well dominate the bonding while the three-center hydrogen bridge bond could be a secondary factor. In the intervening years since 1959, many metal borane and metal carborane compounds (the latter pioneered by Hawthorne [8]), have been prepared and characterized. An excellent review of this area was edited by Grimes [9] and published in 1982.† Although many types of boron–metal and boron–hydrogen–metal interactions were described, no cases were identified in which the $B-H \rightarrow M$ linkage could be unequivocally identified as the bond between two species, each capable of independent exis-

* The foregoing discussion was part of a presentation which also recognized $H_2B(NH_3)_2^+$ as a true coordination compound with H^- and NH_3 as ligands coordinated to a $B(III)$ central moiety. Like $Fe(II)$, $Co(III)$, $Cr(II)$, etc., $B(III)$ forms coordination compounds. Its *incipient* metallic character cannot be denied.

† This work was dedicated to Professor Ralph Rudolph of Michigan, a brilliant and leading worker in the field who died very early in his career.




Fig. 1. Projection of borohydrido-bis(triphenylphosphine)copper(I) showing the molecular structure. Phenyl hydrogen atoms are not shown. (Modified from ref. 5).




Fig. 2. (a) Diagram of structure of $\text{Cu}(\text{P}\phi_3)_2(\text{BH}_4)$ [6]. (b) Diagram of structure of $\text{Cu}(\text{P}\phi_3)_2(\text{B}_3\text{H}_8)$ [7].

tence. (Recall that the entire field of coordination chemistry began through attempts to explain the fact that nominally stable molecules, NH_3 and a metal chloride such as CoCl_2 , combine to give stable adducts.) To test more effectively the concept of coordination through a $\text{B}-\text{H} \rightarrow \text{M}$ bond, a neutral ligand containing B-H linkages was needed.

2. A NEUTRAL LIGAND CAPABLE OF FORMING THREE-CENTER COORDINATE BONDS

2.1 Synthesis of the ligand


When tetraborane is treated with a base, a BH_3 unit is removed from the tetraborane and the products $\text{Base} \cdot \text{BH}_3$ and $\text{Base} \cdot \text{B}_3\text{H}_7$ result. The equation is:



Additional base will split off another BH_3 to give $\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{Base}$ and another molecule of $\text{H}_3\text{B} \cdot \text{Base}$. The equation for the second process is:




This process is represented structurally in Fig. 3. A family of $\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{Base}$ compounds has been prepared in which the bases include: $\text{P}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)_3$ [10], PF_3 [11], CO [12,13], PF_2H [14,15], PF_2Cl [15], PF_2Br [15], $\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3$ [16,17], $\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_3$ [18], mixed $\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{--N}(\text{CH}_3)_3$ bases [19], and $\text{P}[\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_2]\text{F}_2$ [14]. As will be described below, certain of these neutral $\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{Base}$ compounds serve as effective bidentate ligands as suggested by the formula:



The formula also suggests quite clearly that the strength of the $\text{B} \cdots \text{H} \cdots \text{M}$ bond from the ligand to the metal will be dependent on the electron-donating properties of the base attached to B_2H_4 . The better the base is as an electron donor, the stronger the $\text{B} \cdots \text{H} \cdots \text{M}$ linkage should be.

Since B_4H_{10} and $\text{B}_3\text{H}_7 \cdot \text{Base}$ compounds are not available commercially, Hertz et al. [16] suggested in 1978 that commercially available B_5H_9 would be a better starting material for preparing $\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3$. They obtained a 70% yield based on the assumption of one $\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3$ per B_5H_9 . Lory and Ritter [14(b)] in 1976 had first used B_5H_9 as a boron source for preparing the compound $\text{B}_2\text{H}_6 \cdot 2\text{PF}_2\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_2$, but with their ligand the process was not an effective synthetic procedure since a complex structure was obtained and product separation was difficult. In 1980, Kameda and Kodama [17] were able to obtain stoichiometric cleavage of B_5H_9 at -40°C in accordance with the equations:



Some of the $\text{B}_3\text{H}_5 \cdot 3\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3$ can be converted to $\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3$ by a side





Fig. 3. Structural representation of the reaction of B_4H_{10} with a base such as $P(CH_3)_3$.



reaction. The product $B_3H_5 \cdot 3P(CH_3)_3$ was never isolated. Still very strong evidence for its existence was obtained. The compound $B_3H_5 \cdot 3P(CH_3)_3$ is also of interest as a ligand and preliminary data [20] suggest that it coordinates to Zn^{2+} in preference to $B_2H_4 \cdot 2P(CH_3)_3$:



2.2 Reactions of $B_2H_4 \cdot 2P(CH_3)_3$ as a ligand with boron hydrides


Very early work from this laboratory and others showed that certain bases such as NH_3 , methylamine, etc. react with B_2H_6 and with B_4H_{10} through unsymmetrical cleavage of the double bridge bond to give ionic products. With B_2H_6 , the product is $[H_2B(NH_3)_2]^+BH_4^-$. With B_4H_{10} , the product is

$[\text{H}_2\text{B}(\text{NH}_3)_2]^+(\text{B}_3\text{H}_8)^-$. The ion $[\text{H}_2\text{B}(\text{NH}_3)_2]^+$ can be considered as a valid coordination compound of boron(III) with H^- and NH_3 as ligands. When ethylenediamine is allowed to react with diborane, two types of product are obtained. One,



reported by Kelly and co-workers [21] is $\text{H}_3\text{BNCCNBH}_3$. The other form is

obtained from the reaction carried out at -40°C and below. At temperatures above -40°C , this ionic compound converts to the covalent form described by Kelly. The low stability of the ionic form is related to the BH_4^- anion. Ethylene diamine reacts with B_4H_{10} to give the ionic form with the B_3H_8^- anion. This species is stable at room temperature [22]. The ligand $\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3$ can be compared to ethylene diamine, i.e.



It reacts with B_4H_{10} in a strictly comparable fashion [23]. The structure of the cation as determined by Shimoi and Kodama [24] from X-ray diffraction data is seen in Fig. 4. The bis-trimethylamine B_2H_4 also reacts with tetraborane in a comparable fashion [18]. The data are quite clear; the neutral $\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{Base}$ adducts are reacting with borane in a manner comparable with the bidentate ethylenediamine.





Fig. 4. The ORTEP plot of the structure of $[\text{B}_3\text{H}_6 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3]^+$ cation (facing B–B axis) [24].



3. METAL COMPLEXES CONTAINING $\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3$ AS A LIGAND

3.1 Reactions of zinc(II) chloride with $\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3$

The suggested extension of the definition of a coordinate bond to include the three-center $\text{B}-\text{H} \rightarrow \text{M}$ bond as an analogue of the two-center $\text{E} \rightarrow \text{M}$ coordinate bond suggests that neutral $\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3$ should coordinate with metal salts. That expectation has been realized. Bis(trimethylphosphine)-diborane (eqn. (4)) quantitatively reacts at 25°C with zinc(II) chloride to give $\text{ZnCl}_2[\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3]$ [25]. The structure of the complex shown in Fig. 5 shows clearly that Zn(II) has two coordinated chlorines and two $\text{B}-\text{H} \rightarrow \text{Zn}$ bonds. The new *neutral* ligand is bidentate as expected and may be compared with the *anionic* B_3H_8^- , which is known to bond in a bidentate manner to copper(I).

The $\text{ZnCl}_2[\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3]$ is a stable solid in an inert atmosphere up to temperatures over 150°C . The $[\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3]$ can be displaced from the ZnCl_2 by excess $\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3$. When ZnCl_2 and $[\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3]$ were mixed in diethyl ether at -40°C , the complex precipitated as a white solid. The solid could be purified by recrystallization from its CH_2Cl_2 solution. If the ZnCl_2 complex in CH_2Cl_2 was treated with anhydrous HCl , the B–B bond of the ligand was ruptured to give $(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{PBH}_3$ and $(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{PBH}_2\text{Cl}$. The complex was thus decomposed. It was noted





Fig. 5. The ORTEP plot of the molecular structure of $\text{ZnCl}_2 \cdot \text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3$ [25].



that neither $(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{PBH}_3$ nor $(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{PBH}_2\text{Cl}$ reacted with ZnCl_2 under the conditions used to prepare the $\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_2$ complex. NMR and IR data for the ZnCl_2 complex are given in ref. 25.

One interesting feature was observed in the NMR. In BH_4^- complexes such as those of Cu^+ , the hydrogens were fluxional (bridging and non-bridging hydrogens of BH_4^- could not be distinguished by NMR). In contrast, the $\text{ZnCl}_2 \cdot \text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3$ proton NMR spectrum showed a doublet at 0.43 ppm ($^2J_{\text{H-P}} = 4.2$ Hz) assigned to the terminal B–H [H(B1a) and H(B2a)] and a broad singlet at $\delta = 0.33$ assigned to the bridging hydrogens [H(B1b) and H(B2b)]. The spectral pattern remained unchanged from -40 to $+55^\circ\text{C}$.

3.2 Reactions of $\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot \text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_2$ with copper(I) salts

As noted earlier, boron hydride anions such as BH_4^- and B_3H_8^- coordinate to Cu(I) to give complexes containing $\text{B} \cdots \text{H} \rightarrow \text{M}$ linkages. It was thus of interest to see if neutral $\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3$ would coordinate to the Cu(I) species. Mixing of CuX ($\text{X} = \text{Cl}^-, \text{Br}^-, \text{I}^-$) in a 1:1 ratio with $\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3$ at 0°C using CH_2Cl_2 as a solvent gave evidence for the complexes $\text{CuX}[\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3]$. Chloride, bromide, and iodide compounds were obtained. Evaporation of the solvent gave the chloride compound as a white powder which turned violet when the compound was allowed to stand at room temperature. A CH_2Cl_2 solution of the complex decomposed

in 30 min at room temperature. $(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{PBH}_3$ was identified as one product. Based on analogy with other complexes of CuI, the compounds were assigned the dimeric structure seen in Fig. 6. Addition of one mole of $\text{P}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)_3$ per mole of $\text{CuX} \cdot \text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3$ gave a $\text{P}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)_3$ addition complex of formula $[\text{CuX}\{\text{P}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)_3\}\{\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3\}]$. Characterization of all complexes was by analysis, NMR, and IR [20,25]. In CH_2Cl_2 solution in the presence of an excess of the ligand, rapid exchange between free and complexed ligand was observed through the use of NMR.

In 1990, Shimoi et al. [26] reported the synthesis of CuCl and CuI complexes containing *two* $\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3$ ligands per CuX unit. The yield was very near to quantitative. The structure of the colorless crystalline CuI complex was established by X-ray diffraction. The structure is shown in Fig. 7. The crystal is composed of $[\text{Cu}\{\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3\}_2]^+$ cations and I^- anions (Fig. 8). It is interesting that only hydrogens form the primary tetrahedral coordination sphere around Cu(I). These bridging H atoms deviate only slightly from planes defined by the two B atoms and




Fig. 6. The proposed solid state structure of $\text{CuCl} \cdot \text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3$ [20].




Fig. 7. ORTEP diagram of $[\text{Cu}\{\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3\}_2]^+$ [26].




Fig. 8. Packing diagram for $[\text{Cu}\{\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3\}_2]\text{I}$. Iodine atoms are hatched [26].

the Cu atom. On the basis of limited observations, the neutral borane ligand seems to give a somewhat less stable coordination compound than a related anionic ligand.

3.3 Reactions of $\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3$ with nickel carbonyl. The compound $[(\text{OC})_2\text{Ni}\{\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_2\}]$

A more severe test of the isolobal bonding concepts involving the ligand $\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_2$ would be its linkage to a metal (0) atom in a metal carbonyl. Such bonding does indeed occur [27]. The reaction of $\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_4$ and $\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3$ in dichloromethane at room temperature proceeds as shown by the equation:



Carbon monoxide had to be removed from the system as it was produced until the reaction was about 85% complete. The $[\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_2\{\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3\}]$ product could be isolated by adding pentane to the cold, concentrated toluene solution. It was a green solid. Attempts to displace all CO molecules around the Ni by pumping out CO to obtain $\text{Ni}[\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3]_2$ were unsuccessful. Some metallic Ni was obtained. The compound was characterized by NMR, IR, and mass spectroscopy. The $\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3$ ligand can be displaced from the complex by CO (completely at room temperature), PF_3 (complete at -80°C), rapidly by $\text{P}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)_3$ at -40°C , and very slowly by PH_3 at -20°C . HCl breaks the B–B bond. The infrared CO stretching frequencies (cm^{-1}) for $\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_2(\text{PF}_3)_2$, $\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_2[\text{P}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)_3]_2$, and $\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_2(\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{PR}_3)$ are tabulated here.

Frequency	(CO) ₂ Ni(PF ₃) ₂	(CO) ₂ Ni[P(C ₆ H ₅) ₃] ₂	(CO) ₂ Ni[B ₂ H ₄ · 2P(CH ₃) ₃]
A ₁	2094	2005	1993
B ₂	2052	1950	1909

Commonly used arguments [28] indicate that a ligand which is a poor electron donor (i.e. a π acceptor such as PF₃ bound to a metal) should reduce the ability of the metal to donate electrons to the antibonding CO orbital of the coordinated CO, thus the antibonding orbital is less populated and the CO frequency should approach that of free CO. On the other hand, a sigma donor ligand such as the ligand B₂H₄ · 2P(CH₃)₃ (i.e. through B—H→M linkage) should increase electron density on the metal. Thus, it should increase the electron population available for π bonding from metal d orbitals into the antibonding orbitals of the CO. As a consequence, the CO frequency should fall. The observations shown above are consistent with that argument. In fact π -bonding to the H-bridge bond would seem to be quite unrealistic. Weak σ -bonding would be expected, and the conventional infrared arguments for the compounds are consistent with that postulate.

4. PHOTOCHEMICAL SYNTHESIS OF COMPOUNDS CONTAINING THE B—H→M BOND

In a very recent series of excellent papers, Shimoi and his co-workers in Japan have described the use of photochemical techniques to synthesize complexes of bidentate B₂H₄ · 2P(CH₃)₃ and even monodentate H₃BP(CH₃) from hexacarbonyls of chromium, molybdenum, and tungsten. Of particular interest in this work is the fact that the non-chelating ligands H₃BP(CH₃)₃ and H₃BN(CH₃)₃ displaced CO to give complexes. These molecules did *not* coordinate in earlier studies with Zn(II) and Cu(I) compounds.

4.1 Photochemical reactions of /B₂H₄ · 2P(CH₃)₃/ with metal hexacarbonyls of Cr, Mo, and W

Shimoi et al. [29] used a mercury vapor UV lamp to irradiate a 1:1 mole mixture of B₂H₄ · 2P(CH₃)₃ and M(CO)₆ where M was Cr, Mo, or W. Toluene was the solvent. After 90 min of irradiation, work-up of the mixture containing Cr(CO)₆ yielded yellow crystals of Cr(CO)₅[B₂H₄ · 2P(CH₃)₃] (yield 24%) and yellow crystals of Cr(CO)₄[B₂H₄ · 2P(CH₃)₃] (yield 64%). The structure of Cr(CO)₅[B₂H₄ · 2P(CH₃)₃] is shown in Fig. 9; that of Cr(CO)₄[B₂H₄ · 2P(CH₃)₃] is shown in Fig. 10. The former contains monodentate B₂H₄ · 2P(CH₃)₃. The molybdenum complex Mo(CO)₅[B₂H₄ · 2P(CH₃)₃] could not be isolated, but its transient existence in the system was confirmed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Tungsten hexacarbonyl, like Cr(CO)₆, gave both the monodentate and bidentate addition compounds. Structures of both were determined by X-ray diffraction. The chelated structures, M(CO)₄[B₂H₄ · 2P(CH₃)₃], are stable to air *in the solid state*, but solutions oxidize




Fig. 9. ORTEP diagram of $[\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\{\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3\}]$ [29(b)].




Fig. 10. ORTEP diagram of $[\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_4\{\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3\}]$ [29(b)].

readily in air at 25°C. Solutions in THF or CH_3CN were stable in the absence of air. The monodentate complexes, $\text{M}(\text{CO})_5[\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3]$, are, as expected, much less stable than the chelated molecules. For example, the *solid* monodentate species oxidizes slowly in air. In both THF and CH_3CH solutions, the molecule $[\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3]$ is displaced by the solvent. These weakly bound sigma bonding ligands are excellent leaving groups for metal (0) species.

4.2 Reaction of non-chelating $H_3BP(CH_3)_3$ and $H_3BN(CH_3)_3$ with metal hexacarbonyls

Recall that, when $ZnCl_2$ was treated with $B_2H_4 \cdot 2P(CH_3)_3$, a chelated $ZnCl_2$ complex was produced. On the other hand, when $ZnCl_2$ was treated with $H_3BP(CH_3)_3$ under comparable conditions, no complex was detected. The extra stability conferred by chelation was important.

When $M(CO)_6$ molecules were irradiated with $B_2H_4 \cdot 2P(CH_3)_3$, fairly stable chelated and relatively unstable non-chelated substituted carbonyls were obtained. The fact that relatively unstable monodentate carbonyl of $B_2H_4 \cdot 2PR_3$ could be isolated, raised again the question that H_3BPR_3 might serve as a ligand to generate a relatively unstable complex. Shimoi et al. [30] irradiated a mixture of $M(CO)_6$, where $M = Cr$ or W , and H_3BPR_3 in toluene for 120 min using a UV lamp. Processing the reaction mixture at $-20^\circ C$ gave a yield of crude $[M(CO)_5H_3BP(CH_3)_3]$, $M = Cr$ or W . Recrystallization from pentane at low temperature gave the pure product (work-up at $25^\circ C$ gave an intractable mess). The corresponding Mo complex could not be isolated but evidence for its formation in solution was reported. The structure of $[W(CO)_5H_3BP(CH_3)_3]$ is shown in Fig. 11*.




Fig. 11. ORTEP diagram of $[W(CO)_5\{BH_3 \cdot P(CH_3)_3\}]$ [30].

* The coordination of H_3BPR_3 as a unidentate ligand using an $M \cdots M \rightarrow B$ linkage is formally analogous in some respects to the unidentate- σ -coordination of an ethane molecule. Such sigma complexes of alkanes have been suggested as intermediates in C–H activation processes.

The coordination of $\text{H}_3\text{BP}(\text{CH}_3)_3$ is clearly unidentate. As expected, these complexes are clearly less stable than their chelate analogue formed from $\text{B}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3$. All attempts to replace two CO molecules in $\text{M}(\text{CO})_6$ with two $\text{H}_3\text{BP}(\text{CH}_3)_3$ molecules were unsuccessful.

The authors [30] noted in passing that $\text{M}(\text{CO})_5\text{H}_3\text{B} \cdot \text{P}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)_3$ and $\text{M}(\text{CO})_5\text{H}_3\text{BN}(\text{CH}_3)_3$ were also obtained using their procedures, but detailed information on the compounds was not provided. Basic arguments suggest that these compounds should be less stable than those of $\text{H}_3\text{BP}(\text{CH}_3)_3$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge with sincere thanks the contributions made by Steven A. Snow [20], Mamoru Shimoi, Mitsuaki Kameda and their co-workers in developing the $\text{M}-\text{H} \rightarrow \text{B}$ concept and in making all information readily available to them.

REFERENCES

- 1 (a) A. Werner, *Vierteljahrsschr. Zuercher Naturforsch. Ges.*, 36 (1891) 129.
(b) A. Werner, *Neuere Anschauungen auf der Gebiete der Anorganischen Chemie*, Vieweg, Braunschweig, 4th edn., 1920, p. 44.
(c) J.C. Bailar, *Chemistry of the Coordination Compounds*, Reinhold, New York, 1956, p. 109.
- 2 B.M. Tassaert, *Ann. Chim. Phys.*, 28(1) (1798) 92.
- 3 G.N. Lewis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 38 (1916) 778.
- 4 R.W. Parry and L.J. Edwards, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 81 (1959) 3560.
- 5 S.J. Lippard and K.M. Melmed, *Inorg. Chem.*, 6 (1967) 2223.
- 6 T.J. Marks and J.R. Kolb, *Chem. Rev.*, 77 (1977) 263.
- 7 S.J. Lippard and K.M. Melmed, *Inorg. Chem.*, 8 (1969) 2755.
- 8 M.F. Hawthorne, *Endeavor*, 25 (1966) 146.
- 9 R.N. Grimes, *Metal Interactions with Boron Clusters*, Plenum Press, New York, 1982.
- 10 B.M. Graybill and J.K. Ruff, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 84 (1962) 1062.
- 11 (a) W.R. Deeever and D.M. Ritter, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 89 (1967) 5073.
(b) W.R. Deeever, E.R. Lory and D.M. Ritter, *Inorg. Chem.*, 8 (1969) 1263.
- 12 R.T. Paine and R.W. Parry, *Inorg. Chem.*, 11 (1972) 268.
- 13 J. Rathke and R. Schaeffer, *Inorg. Chem.*, 13 (1974) 760.
- 14 (a) E.R. Lory and D.M. Ritter, *Inorg. Chem.*, 10 (1971) 939.
(b) E.R. Lory and D.M. Ritter, *Inorg. Chem.*, 9 (1970) 1847.
- 15 R.T. Paine and R.W. Parry, *Inorg. Chem.*, 14 (1975) 689.
- 16 R.K. Hertz, M.L. Denniston and S.G. Shore, *Inorg. Chem.*, 17 (1978) 2673.
- 17 M. Kameda and G. Kodama, *Inorg. Chem.*, 19 (1980) 2288.
- 18 R.E. DePoy and G. Kodama, *Inorg. Chem.*, 24 (1985) 2871.
- 19 R.E. DePoy and G. Kodama, *Inorg. Chem.*, 27 (1988) 1116.
- 20 S.A. Snow, Ph.D. Dissertation, 1985, University of Utah.
- 21 (a) H.C. Kelly and J.O. Edwards, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 82 (1960) 4842.
(b) H.Y. Ting, W.H. Watson and H.C. Kelly, *Inorg. Chem.*, 11 (1972) 374.
- 22 G. Kodama, unpublished data.

- 23 M. Kameda and G. Kodama, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 102 (1980) 3647.
- 24 M. Shimoi and G. Kodama, *Annual Coordination Chemistry Symposium 1985, Hiroshima, Japan, Abstract*.
- 25 S.A. Snow, M. Shimoi, C.D. Ostler, B.K. Thompson, G. Kodama and R.W. Parry, *Inorg Chem.*, 23 (1984) 511.
- 26 M. Shimoi, K. Katoh, H. Tobita and H. Ogino, *Inorg Chem.*, 29 (1990) 814.
- 27 S.A. Snow and G. Kodama, *Inorg Chem.*, 24 (1985) 795.
- 28 C.M. Lukehart, *Fundamental Transition Metal Organometallic Chemistry*, Brooks/Cole Publishing Co., Monterey, CA, 1985, p. 75.
- 29 (a) M. Shimoi, K. Katoh and H.J. Ogino, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.*, (1990) 811.
(b) K. Katoh, M. Shimoi and H.J. Ogino, *Inorg Chem.*, 31 (1992) 670.
- 30 M. Shimoi, K. Katoh, M. Uruichi and H. Ogino, *personal communication* (1992); *Inorg Chem.*, submitted for publication.